Reproduced from State-Owned Enterprise Reform in Vietnam edited by Ng Chee Yuen, Nick J. Freeman, Frank H. Huynh (Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 1996). This version was obtained electronically direct from the publisher on condition that copyright is not infringed. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior permission of the Institute of Southeast Asian Studies. Individual articles are available at

< http://bookshop.iseas.edu.sg >

State-Owned Enterprise Reform in Vietnam

The Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) was established as an autonomous organization in 1968. It is a regional research centre for scholars and other specialists concerned with modern Southeast Asia, particularly the many-faceted problems of stability and security, economic development, and political and social change.

The Institute's research programmes are the Regional Economic Studies Programme (RES), Regional Strategic and Political Studies Programme (RSPS), Regional Social and Cultural Studies Programme (RSCS), and the Indochina Programme (ICP).

The Institute is governed by a twenty-two-member Board of Trustees comprising nominees from the Singapore Government, the National University of Singapore, the various Chambers of Commerce, and professional and civic organizations. A ten-man Executive Committee oversees day-to-day operations; it is chaired by the Director, the Institute's chief academic and administrative officer.

The **Indochina Unit (IU)** of the Institute was formed in late 1991 to meet the increasing need for information and scholastic assessment on the fast-changing situation in Indochina in general and in Vietnam in particular. Research in the Unit is development-based, with a focus on contemporary issues of political economy. This is done by resident and visiting fellows of various nationalities. To understand the Vietnamese perspective better, the Unit also has a regular programme whereby scholars from Vietnam are invited to do research on issues of topical interest.

STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISE REFORM IN VIETNAM Lessons from Asia

Edited by

Ng Chee Yuen Nick J. Freeman Frank Hiep Huynh

Published by Institute of Southeast Asian Studies Heng Mui Keng Terrace Pasir Paniang Singapore 119596

Internet e-mail: publish@iseas.ac.sg WWW: http://merlion.iseas.ac.sg/pub.html

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

© 1996 Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore

The responsibility for facts and opinions expressed in this publication rests exclusively with the authors and their interpretations do not necessarily reflect the views or the policy of the Institute or its supporters.

Cataloguing in Publication Data

Stated-owned enterprise reform in Vietnam: Lessons from Asia / edited by Ng Chee Yuen, Nick J. Freeman, Frank Hiep Huynh.

Papers originally presented to an Indochina Roundtable on "State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) Reforms and Restructuring in Vietnam: Lessons from Japan and Southeast Asia", organized by the Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore, on 4-5 September 1995.

- 1. Government business enterprises Vietnam Congresses.
- 2. Structural adjustment (Economic policy) Vietnam Congresses.
- 3. Government business enterprises ASEAN countries Congresses. 4. Government business enterprises — Japan — Congresses.
- I. Ng, Chee Yuen.
- II. Freeman, Nick J.
- III. Huynh, Frank Cong Hiep.
- IV. Indochina Roundtable (1995: Singapore).
- V. Other title: State-owned enterprises reforms and restructuring in Vietnam: Lessons from Japan and Southeast Asia.

HC444 V66 1996 sls96-76713

ISBN 981-3055-43-X ISSN 0129-1920

Typeset by Superskill Graphics Pte Ltd Printed in Singapore by Kin Keong Printing Co Pte Ltd

Contents

Preface		vii	
Sec	tion I: Reforms of SOEs in Vietnam		
1.	Problems and Prospects of State Enterprise Reform, 1996-2000 Phan Van Tiem and Nguyen Van Thanh	3	
2.	Restructuring of State-Owned Enterprises towards Industrialization and Modernization in Vietnam Nguyen Ngoc Tuan, Ngo Tri Long, and Ho Phuong	n 19	
3.	Government Policies and State-Owned Enterprise Reform Nguyen Van Huy and Tran Van Nghia	38	
4.	Legal Consequences of State-Owned Enterprise Reform Le Dang Doanh	63	
Sec	tion II: Asian Experience		
5.	State-Owned Enterprise Reform: Lessons from Japan Kiyoshi Nakamura	77	
6.	State-Owned Enterprise Reform in Indonesia: An Overview Faisal R. Harahap	102	
7.	Role of Government and the Public-Private Sector Mix: The ASEAN Experience Mukul G. Asher	122	
8.	Corporatization as a Strategy of State-Owned Enterprise Reform Ian Thynne	138	
Sec	tion III: Conclusion		
9.	Concluding Remarks Ng Chee Yuen, Nick J. Freeman, and Frank Hiep Huynh	153	
Index		165	

Contributors

Dr Nguyen Ngoc Tuan Vice Chairman Government Price Committee Hanoi

Professor Dr Ngo Tri Long Vice Director Institute for Market and Price Hanoi

Professor Ho Phuong Centre for Encyclopaedia Hanoi

Mr Le Dang Doanh Director Central Institute of Economic Management Hanoi

Dr Nguyen Van Huy Vice Chairman of Enterprise Reform Committee Office of the Government Hanoi

Mr Tran Van Nghia Director Department of Enterprise Reform Office of the Government Hanoi

HE Professor Dr Phan Van Tiem State Minister Chairman of Enterprise Reform Committee Office of the Government Hanoi

Mr Nguyen Van Thanh Deputy Director Department of Enterprise Reform Office of the Government Hanoi Dr Ian Seaton Thynne Senior Lecturer and Director, Graduate Programme Department of Political Science and Public Policy University of Waikato New Zealand

Professor Kiyoshi Nakamura School of Commerce Waseda University Tokyo

Associate Professor Mukul G. Asher Department of Economics and Statistics National University of Singapore Singapore

Mr Faisal R. Harahap Research Fellow Institute of Southeast Asian Studies Singapore

Dr Ng Chee Yuen Visiting Senior Fellow Centre for Management of Technology National University of Singapore Singapore

Dr Frank Hiep Huynh Senior Lecturer School of Economics LaTrobe University Melbourne

Dr Nick J. Freeman Head, Indochina Research ING Baring International Bangkok

Preface

Vietnam became the newest member of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) on 1 July 1995. Its membership marks the beginning of a new chapter in the rapidly developing Southeast Asian region. Barely three decades ago, in the 1960s, the region was classified as one of the most turbulent in the world, and ASEAN was established in 1967 in an attempt to help change that view. Today, Southeast Asia is regarded as one of the most economically dynamic regions in the world. Undoubtedly, the upheavals in the socialist bloc during the late 1980s, leading to the crumbling of many planned economies, have proven that the command system is not sustainable. These economies simply did not deliver the goods, material and immaterial, which had been anticipated. Consequently, there has been a recent trend of command economies changing towards market-oriented principles.

The performance of the market economies of the ASEAN member countries and Japan, amongst others, has undoubtedly impressed Vietnam, to the extent that it is now trying to learn from their experiences. An added motive is the relatively handicapped position of Vietnam's integration with the other ASEAN economies; a substantial number of its state-owned enterprises (SOEs) are just too inefficient to compete in the international market-place. However, should Vietnam have refrained from joining ASEAN, and side-stepped the economic transformation that is now taking place, the prospect of an ever-widening gap with the ASEAN economies and the risk of being left in the backwaters of development would have been real. Vietnam has little alternative but to change its economic system to a more marketdriven one, and at the very centre of this change is the reform of its SOEs. But the successful transition from a command to a market-oriented economy is no easy task. The path is wrought with pitfalls and problems that can at times seem intractable. Whilst Vietnam has done much to reduce drastically the cumulative number of SOEs — undertaken by various means since 1986 the arguably harder task of transforming their operating structures has still to be done.

In early 1994 the Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS), an institution devoted to the generation, enhancement, and dissemination of research on the region and beyond, proposed a study on the reforms of SOEs in Vietnam, to help better facilitate the country's integration with the ASEAN economies. ISEAS holds the view that one of the critical bottle-necks in restructuring the Vietnamese economy — characterized by state allocation and production, through the pervasive public enterprise system — lies in subjecting SOEs to

viii Preface

market forces, as well as a programme for their divestment (where appropriate), and a larger role for the private sector. Consequently, ISEAS submitted a project proposal on the study of reforms of SOEs in Vietnam, which was accepted for funding by the Sasakawa Peace Foundation (SPF). In this regard, we are thankful for the generosity of SPF and for its assistance in the co-ordination of the project.

Undertaking this project was an educational experience in itself. How things are done in a transitional economy is clearly distinguishable from that of a market economy. For instance, this project required the approval of senior government; a clear indication that debate on key issues must be measured. In this regard, we are thankful to our counterpart, the Committee for the Reform of SOEs, which assisted not only in the selection of Vietnamese researchers — who gave us frank and honest views of the state of SOEs in Vietnam and the difficulties faced in their transformation — but also expedited this approval process.

The study — which stems from a series of papers presented at a workshop gathering in September 1995 — is divided into three sections. The first section comprises four chapters by Vietnamese experts, which together give a domestic perspective of the SOE reform process undertaken in Vietnam. Given that the topic of each chapter overlaps those of the other chapters, it is unavoidable to find a degree of repetition on the issues covered and arguments presented. The repetitions observed should not, however, be regarded negatively, but rather viewed as an indication of the uniformity of these perspectives. The second section comprises another four chapters, dealing with the experiences of reforms in SOEs in Indonesia and Japan, and two specific issues: on the legal and administrative aspects of SOE reform; and the role of government and private sector mix. These four chapters provide possible lessons for Vietnamese economic practitioners, in their attempt to reform SOEs in Vietnam. It was envisaged that this exercise would highlight some important issues, which could easily be neglected, or at the least clarify some doubts practitioners may have, including the avoidance of pitfalls experienced by some countries and the adoption of more successful policies implemented in others. Finally, section three, which draws on the previous two sections — and on comments made during the workshop provides some concrete recommendations for consideration by Vietnam's economic reform planners.