Decentralization and Regional Autonomy in Indonesia
The International Institute for Asian Studies (IIAS) is a postdoctoral research centre based in Leiden and Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Its main objective is to encourage the study of Asia and to promote national and international co-operation in this field. The geographical scope of the Institute covers South Asia, Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Central Asia. The institute focuses on the humanities and the social sciences and, where relevant, on their interaction with other sciences.

The Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) was established as an autonomous organization in 1968. It is a regional centre dedicated to the study of socio-political, security and economic trends and developments in Southeast Asia and its wider geostrategic and economic environment. The Institute’s research programmes are the Regional Economic Studies (RES, including ASEAN and APEC), Regional Strategic and Political Studies (RSPS), and Regional Social and Cultural Studies (RSCS).

ISEAS Publishing, an established academic press, has issued almost 2,000 books and journals. It is the largest scholarly publisher of research about Southeast Asia from within the region. ISEAS Publishing works with many other academic and trade publishers and distributors to disseminate important research and analyses from and about Southeast Asia to the rest of the world.
Decentralization and Regional Autonomy in Indonesia
Implementation and Challenges

Edited by
Coen J.G. Holtzappel & Martin Ramstedt
CONTENTS

List of Tables viii
List of Figures x
List of Maps xi
Acknowledgements xiii
List of Contributors xv
Preface xxiii

INTRODUCTION: The Regional Governance Reform in Indonesia, 1999–2004 1
Coen J.G. Holtzappel

PART ONE: MONITORING REPORTS & GENERAL ANALYSES

1. When the Burden is Shouldered Alone:
   Experiences in Autonomy at Regencies and Municipalities 59
   Adi Abidin

2. Indonesia’s Transition to Decentralized Governance:
   Evolution at the Local Level 75
   Widjajanti I. Suharyo

3. Corruption and Decentralization 99
   Bert Hofman, Kai Kaiser, and Günther G. Schulze
### Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>The Role and Function of the Regional People’s Representative Council (DPRD): A Juridical Study</td>
<td>J. Endi Rukmo et al.</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Regional Autonomy, Regulatory Reform, and the Business Climate</td>
<td>Mohammad Sadli</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Decentralization, Regulatory Reform, and the Business Climate</td>
<td>David Ray</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Small Enterprises and Decentralization: Some Lessons from Java</td>
<td>Henry Sandee</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Fiscal Decentralization and Its Impact on Regional Economic Development and Fiscal Sustainability</td>
<td>Bambang Brodjonegoro</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Origin and Development of the Urban Municipality in Indonesia</td>
<td>Peter J.M. Nas</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART TWO: ANTHROPOLOGICAL ANALYSES OF REGIONAL CASES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Regional Autonomy and the Issue of Land Rights: The Case of the PT CPM Mine in Central Sulawesi</td>
<td>Dibyo Prabowo</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Recentralization and Decentralization in West Sumatra</td>
<td>Franz and Keebet von Benda-Beckmann</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contents vii

   Syafrialdi

15. Global Spread and Local Fractioning: Indigenous Knowledge and the Commoditization of Livelihood Resources in the Growth Triangle 388
   Cynthia Chou

Index 411
LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Number of District Government Units before and after Decentralization 83
Table 2.2: Increase in Salary of DPRD Members 83
Table 2.3: Basic Statistics of West Lombok and the City of Bandar Lampung; Local Budget and the Financing of Service Centres 85
Table 2.4: Revenues of West Lombok, Bandar Lampung, Lampung Province and NTB before and after Decentralization 86
Table 2.5: Government Expenditure of West Lombok, Bandar Lampung, Lampung Province and NTB before and after Decentralization 87
Table 2.6: Location of SMERU’s Studies on Regional Autonomy; 2000–03 90
Table 2.7: Changes in the Transfer from Central Government, Province’s and District’s Own Revenues and Salary Payments, before and after Decentralization (FY 1999/2000 and FY 2001) 92

Table 3.1: The Time Needed for Several Services 109
Table 4.1: Regional Profile of the Respondents 121
Table 6.1: Selected PEG Studies on Regulatory Problems in Local Government 151
Table 6.2: Factors that Impact Negatively on the Business Climate 164
### List of Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 7.1</td>
<td>Indonesian Manufacturing by Establishment Size (employment-based definition), Census Data, 1975–96</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 7.2</td>
<td>Indonesian Employment by Main Employment Status of Population Aged 15 Years and above; 1997, 1999 and 2001</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 7.3</td>
<td>Employments in the Manufacturing Sector, 1996–2000</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.1</td>
<td>Percentage of Prefectures/Municipalities Distribution Based on Elements of Budget Shares to Total Local Budget (APBD), 2002</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.2</td>
<td>DAU per Capita, 2002–03</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.3</td>
<td>Local Revenue per Capita, 2002</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.4</td>
<td>Average Annual GRDP Growth by Province</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.5</td>
<td>Average Annual Investment Growth by Province</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.6</td>
<td>Average Annual Export Growth by Province</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.7</td>
<td>Average Annual Manufacturing Growth by Province</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 8.8</td>
<td>Inter-governmental Transfer, Debt Payment, and National Budget</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 10.1</td>
<td>Mining in the Forests</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 10.2</td>
<td>Mining in Protected and Conservation Forest</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 11.1</td>
<td>Historical Overview of the Changes of Administrative Divisions in Tana Toraja</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Organization of Central and Regional Authorities 16

Figure 2.1: The Transfer from Central Government and Districts’ Own Revenues before (FY 1999/2000) and after (FY 2001) Decentralization 80

Figure 2.2: The Transfer from Central Government and Provinces’ Own Revenues before (FY 1999/2000) and after (FY 2001) Decentralization 80

Figure 2.3: Increase in Transfer from Central Government, Provinces’ Own Revenue and Salary Payments after Decentralization (FY 2001–FY 1999/2000) 82

Figure 2.4: Increase in Transfer from Central Government, Districts’ Own Revenue and Salary Payments after Decentralization (FY 2001–FY 1999/2000) 82

Figure 3.1: Forms of KKN before and after Decentralization 2000–01 105

Figure 3.2: KKN in Government Administration (Perception by NGO and Media) 106

Figure 3.3: Level of Community Satisfaction with Public Services 108

Figure 3.4: Comparison of the Average Actual Payment with the Payment According to Local Regulations 108

Figure 3.5: Perceptions on Transparency of APBD 109
LIST OF MAPS

Map 11.1: Kruyt's Threefold Division of the Highlands and the Modern District of Tana Toraja 261
Map 12.1: Nagari Candung Kota Lawas 303
Map 15.1: Resources of Riau as Perceived by the Regional Investment Coordinating Board of Riau Province 395
Map 15.2: Master Plan for Batam 396
Map 15.3: Master Map for Bintan 397
Map 15.4: Master Map of the Barelang Bridges 399
Map 15.5: The Network of Orang Laut Inter-related Territorialities and Their Identification of Resources 402
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The compilation of the anthology greatly benefited from the intense and continual oral and written exchange between the contributors and the two editors. The result is a coherent line of reasoning which runs through the whole book and turns each chapter into a necessary, well-integrated part of the whole. We are deeply grateful to Mohammad Sadli who actually planted the seeds for the whole project. His continued inspiration and insightful comments, which by far transcended the framework of his own contribution, have guided our discussion until the end. Martin Sander’s astute critical reading of the chapters of Part I of the present volume accelerated the conclusion of this project. We are equally indebted to Milan Titus, whose expansive knowledge of the subject served as a never-ending source of inspiration. The editing of the individual chapters profited from Stewart Robson’s erudite translation of two very technical Indonesian contributions. Marleen Dieleman gave valuable comments as to the organization of the chapters, and Lika Meissner graciously assisted us in the final stage of the editing process. Last but not least, we would like to thank Wim Stokhof, former Director of the International Institute for Asian Studies, who encouraged us to publish the anthology in the IIAS series at ISEAS in Singapore.
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Adi Abidin holds a B.A. in comparative politics from Northern Illinois University and has been deeply involved in the implementation of the 1999 legislation on decentralization and regional autonomy in various capacities. He was programme officer for the unit “Decentralization and Local Government” at The Asia Foundation in Jakarta where he was engaged in the implementation of the Indonesia Rapid Decentralization Appraisal (IRDA), a three-year programme dedicated to monitoring the pace and direction of the decentralization process in Indonesia. He was a member of the initial implementation team of the decentralization policy at the State Ministry for Regional Autonomy in 2000, where he was involved in the drafting of the initial implementing regulations and was responsible for the whole research unit of the ministry, assisting the senior political adviser and setting up the decentralization facilitators’ network in the regions. After the State Ministry of Regional Autonomy had merged with the Ministry of Home Affairs, due to the restructuring of the government in late 2000, Abidin joined the office of the National Democratic Institute in Jakarta as a programme officer dealing with issues concerning constitutional and electoral reform as well as decentralization. In this context, he served as a close observer of Indonesia’s constitutional amendment process, particularly the crisis leading to Abdurrahman Wahid’s removal from office in 2001. He also assisted the central government as well as local governments in preparing innovative institutions, practices and procedures to strengthen the initiatives for regional autonomy. Abidin has recently started a new job at the Jakarta office of the International Labour Organization (ILO).

Bambang Brodjonegoro holds a Ph.D. in Regional Science and a Master of Urban Planning from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. He is Dean of the Faculty of Economics at the University of Indonesia in
Jakarta. He is also President of the Indonesian Regional Science Association (IRSA), Deputy Chairman of the Indonesian Economist Association (ISEI) for Decentralization, Regional Autonomy, Cooperatives and Agriculture, and Chairman of the Regional Autonomy Watch (KPPOD). His latest publications include *Regional Development in the Era of Decentralisation: Growth, Poverty and the Environment* (2004), co-edited with A.S. Alisjahbana.

**Cynthia Gek-Hua Chou** holds a B.A. and MSoc.Sc. from the National University of Singapore and a Ph.D. in Social Anthropology from Cambridge University, UK. She is Associate Professor at the Department of Cross-cultural and Regional Studies, University of Copenhagen. Previously, she had worked as Curator at the Singapore History/National Museum and as post-doctoral research fellow at the International Institute for Asian Studies in Leiden, The Netherlands. Her publications include *Indonesian Sea Nomads: Money, Magic, and Fear of the Orang Laut of Riau* (2003), the anthology, *Tribal Communities in the Malay World: Historical, Cultural and Social Perspectives* (2002), co-edited with Geoffrey Benjamin, and the theme-issue *Riau in Transition* (*Bijdragen tot de Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde*, 1997), co-edited with Will Derks.

**Edwin de Jong** holds a M.A. in Development Studies from Nijmegen University. Having carried out extensive fieldwork in South Sulawesi, he has recently finished his doctoral thesis, titled *Living with the Dead: The Dynamics of Translocality and Livelihoods in the Torajan World, Indonesia.* In his thesis, he analyses the impact of the multiple crises in Indonesia on the livelihood of the Sa’dan Toraja in the highlands of South Sulawesi. His focus lies on the way in which the Sa’dan Toraja respond to the effects of the crises and the consequences of these responses for essential social relations and (trans-local) networks. De Jong’s doctoral research project was part of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Sciences’ “Indonesia in Transition” Research Programme within the Netherlands-Indonesia Scientific Cooperation Programme. He is currently appointed as post-doctoral researcher at the project “Adapting to Water Change: Social-Ecological Resilience and Livelihood Innovation as a Consequence of Aquatic-Ecological Change and Changing Water Regimes of the Mahakam River, East Kalimantan, Indonesia”, financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). He is also working as an educational coordinator and lecturer at the Department of Development Studies at Nijmegen University.
Dibyo Prabowo is President of Atma Jaya University in Yogyakarta. Previously, he was Professor of Economics at Gadjah Mada University in Yogyakarta and Director of the university's Center for Asia and Pacific Studies. He furthermore served as Dean of the Faculty of Economics at Gadjah Mada University, as an expert staff at the Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture, member of the IFAD Mission to Indonesia assessing the income generating project for small farmers, member of the ESCAP Mission to South Asia assessing the local food demand and supply, and Director of the Economic Research Center of the Faculty of Economics at Gadjah Mada University. He has published extensively on issues concerning agricultural policy and industry in Asia.

Bert Hofman holds a degree in Economics from Erasmus University, Rotterdam. He served as lead economist for several years at the World Bank office in Jakarta, where he focused on fiscal policy, decentralization and governance, before being transferred to the World Bank office in Beijing. He had previously worked for the World Bank in China, South Africa, Mongolia, Zambia, and Namibia, concentrating on macro-economic, financial and fiscal issues. Before joining the World Bank, he was a researcher at the Kiel Institute for World Economics, at the OECD, the ING Bank, and Erasmus University in Rotterdam. He has published on a variety of issues, including decentralization in Indonesia, the international debt crisis, exchange rate movements, transition economics, and Chinese economic reforms. He was also the main author of the 2001 World Bank report on Indonesia's decentralization reforms (Decentralizing Indonesia).

Coen J.G. Holtzappel has recently retired from academic teaching, after having been lecturer for the cultural and political anthropology of Indonesia, social theory and non-Western sociology at the Department of Cultural Anthropology and Non-Western Sociology, Leiden University, the Netherlands, for twenty-seven years. He has however retained his position as president of the Dutch Wertheim Foundation. From 1971 to 1975, he had been member of the project team of the LHW/KHT I Nuffic Research and Teaching Programme which had been established in connection with the cooperation between the University of Agriculture in Wageningen, the Netherlands, and the Institute for Social Development in Malang, Indonesia. From 1976 to 1989, Holtzappel was a member of the Committee for Social Sciences of the Dutch-Indonesian Cultural Agreement, which he presided as chairman from 1989 to 1992. In 1988, he was a visiting fellow at the Department of Anthropology and History at the Australian National University (ANU) in
Canberra. From 1991 to 1993, he also worked as Erasmus Programme lecturer for the anthropology of Indonesia at the Department of Anthropology, the University College of London (UCL). From 1986 to 1998, he participated in the training and briefing programme for business personnel to be sent to Indonesia at the Royal Institute for the Tropics (KIT) in Amsterdam. His research and writings focused on socio-political processes in modern, colonial and pre-colonial Indonesia. His recent publications include the anthology *Eenheid in Verscheidenheid. Droom of Werkelijkheid?* (2003) and the anthology *Riding a Tiger: Dilemmas of Integration and Decentralisation in Indonesia* (2002), which he co-edited with Martin Sanders and Milan Titus.

J. Endi Rukmo holds a M.A. from the Research School of Pacific Studies at the Australian National University and is Head of the Department of Political Sciences at the College of Governmental and Civil Service Studies (*Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Pemerintahan Abdi Negara*) in Jakarta. Previously, he was Vice-Director of the Postgraduate Programme on Governance, Head of the Laboratory for Governance Studies at Satyagama University in Jakarta, as well as Secretary of the Board of the Foundation for Regional Governance Innovation (*Yayasan Inovasi Pemerintah Daerah*). He has also worked as Policy Analyst at the Center for Local Government Innovation (CLGI) in Jakarta, head of a team involved in the building of institutions for good governance at the International City/County Management Association (ICMA) in Jakarta, Deputy Secretary-General of the Asia Pacific Section of the International Union for Local Authority, and member of the Department of International Affairs at the Centre for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) in Jakarta. He has taught at various Indonesian universities and has published extensively on political issues in different Asian countries.

Kai Kaiser is Senior Economist for Public Policy at the World Bank office at Washington D.C. From 2002 to 2003, he was task manager of the project “Sub-National Good Governance Indicators for Effective Decentralization” of the World Bank Netherlands Partnership Program together with Bert Hofman. He has co-authored a large number of World Bank reports on local conflict, decentralization and development in Indonesia.

Mohammad Sadli was Professor Emeritus at the Faculty of Economics, University of Indonesia in Jakarta, and Chairman of the Institute for Research and Development (*LP3E*) of the Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (*Kadin*). He was one of the leading economists of the Suharto era who helped to fashion the Indonesian economic policy of that period. From
1967 to 1973, he was Chairman of the Technical Committee for Capital Investment. From 1971 to 1973, he served as Minister of Manpower and from 1973 to 1978 as Minister of Mining, then as Secretary-General of the Kadin, and later as the LP3-Kadin’s first Director. After he had retired from active teaching at his university in 1987, Sadli continued to write and give seminars both in Indonesia and abroad until he passed away shortly before the publication of this volume.

Peter J.M. Nas is Professor of “Socio-cultural aspects of the built environment in Indonesia”. He is employed at the Department of Cultural Anthropology and Development Sociology, as well as the Department of Languages and Cultures of Southeast Asia and Oceania, Leiden University. He also serves as Secretary-General of the International Union of Anthropological and Ethnological Sciences (IUAES). His recent publications include an edited volume, The Past in the Present: Architecture in Indonesia (2007), and an anthology of all his publications on Indonesian cities, Kota-kota Indonesia: Bunga Rampai (2007).

Martin Ramstedt holds a Ph.D. and a M.A. in anthropology from Munich University, Germany. He is Senior Research Fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology in Halle, Germany, working on Indonesian/Indian business relations and the role of Hindu values and Hindu law therein. Previously, he was post-doctoral researcher at the Meertens Institute (Royal Dutch Academy of Sciences) in Amsterdam, focusing on the function of alternative spirituality in the corporate world as well as on the relationship between modern paganism and the experience economy. From late 1997 to the beginning of 2001, he had been European Science Foundation research fellow and senior research fellow at the International Institute for Asian Studies in Leiden, the Netherlands, working on the political dimension of Hinduism in modern Indonesia. He has also worked as guest lecturer at the International Graduate School of Theology and the Department of Cultural Anthropology of the Radbouw University in Nijmegen as well as at the Culture, Organization and Management Department of the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. His publications include the monograph Weltbild, Heilspragmatik und Herrschaftslegitimation im vorkolonialen Bali (1998) and the anthology Hinduism in Modern Indonesia — A Minority Religion between Local, National, and Global Interests (2004).

David Ray holds a Ph.D. in Economics from Victoria University, Australia and an honours degree in economics from Murdoch University, also in
Australia. Since 2000 he has worked on a number of donor projects promoting regulatory and microeconomic reform in Indonesia and Vietnam. Over the 2000–03 period, he was a USAID funded economic advisor at the Indonesian Ministry of Industry and Trade, working on range of issues impacting the business enabling environment, including decentralization, competition policy, trade policy, local government regulations and port sector reform, amongst others. From late 2003 until the end of 2006, he led the The Asia Foundation component of the Vietnam Competitiveness Initiative, based in Hanoi. Currently, he is the Deputy Director of the SENADA Competitiveness project in Jakarta, a project to enhance the competitiveness of key labour intensive manufacturing sectors.

**Henry Sandee** studied Development Economics at the Vrije Universiteit in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. His Ph.D. study was on technological change in rural industries in Central Java, Indonesia. From 1986 to 1992, he worked as Research Manager of the Faculty and Staff Development Programme at Satya Wacana Christian University in Salatiga, Indonesia. Subsequently, he was a Senior Lecturer and Research Fellow at the Vrije Universiteit with frequent missions to Indonesia, Vietnam, and Sri Lanka. In 2005, Henry joined Radio Netherlands Worldwide as Head of Department of the Indonesian Section which broadcast daily, a four-hour programme for Indonesians throughout the world. Since 2007, he moved to World Bank Jakarta office where he is currently employed as a domestic trade advisor to the Ministry of Trade.


**Syafrinaldi** holds a Ph.D. in Law from the Military University in Neubiberg, Germany, and an MCL from Dehli University. He is Professor of Law at the Islamic University in Riau, where he holds the positions of Director of the Postgraduate Programme and Head of the Study Centre for Intellectual Property Rights. He has been member of the Provincial Research Council in Riau and has worked as a consultant for various international oil firms in Riau. He is also Visiting Professor for the Ph.D. Programme at the Islamic State University in Pekanbaru as well as for the Master of Law Course at the

**Franz von Benda-Beckmann** is head of the project group “Legal Pluralism” (together with Keebet von Benda-Beckmann) at the Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology in Halle, Germany, and Honorary Professor for Social Anthropology at the University of Leipzig as well as Honorary Professor for Legal Pluralism at the University of Halle-Wittenberg, Germany. From 1978 to 1981, he was Senior Lecturer at the Law Faculty of Leiden University, the Netherlands, and Director of the Netherlands’ Research Centre for Law in Southeast Asia and the Caribbean. At the same time, he also taught courses in Legal Anthropology as “Privatdozent” for Anthropology at the University of Zürich. Subsequently, he was Professor for Law in Developing Countries at Wageningen Agricultural University, the Netherlands, until 2000. His recent publications include *Sumber Daya Alam dan Jaminan Sosial* (2001), co-edited with Keebet von Benda-Beckmann and Juliette Koning; *Mobile People, Mobile Law: Expanding Legal Relations in a Contracting World* (2005), co-edited with Keebet von Benda-Beckmann and Anne Griffiths; *Changing Properties of Property* (2006), co-edited with Keebet von Benda-Beckmann and Melanie Wiber; and “Dynamics of Plural Legal Orders” (Special double issue of the *Journal of Legal Pluralism* and *Unofficial Law* 53/54, 2006), co-edited with Keebet von Benda-Beckmann.

**Keebet von Benda-Beckmann** is currently head of the project group “Legal Pluralism” (together with Franz von Benda-Beckmann) at the Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology in Halle, Germany, and Honorary Professor for Social Anthropology at the University of Leipzig as well as Honorary Professor for Legal Pluralism at the University of Halle-Wittenberg. She is a member of the editorial board of the *Journal for Legal Pluralism*, the *Australian Journal of Asian Law*, and *Focaal, Tijdschrift voor Antropologie*. Her recent publications include *Sumber Daya Alam dan Jaminan Sosial* (2001), co-edited with Franz von Benda-Beckmann and Juliette Koning; *Mobile People, Mobile Law: Expanding Legal Relations in a Contracting World* (2005), co-edited with Franz von Benda-Beckmann and Anne Griffiths; and “Dynamics of Plural Legal Orders” (Special double issue of the *Journal of Legal Pluralism* and *Unofficial Law* 53/54, 2006), co-edited with Franz von Benda-Beckmann.
Widjajanti Isdijoso Suharyo holds a Master of Economics from the University of Queensland in Brisbane, Australia, and is currently Senior Researcher at the SMERU Research Institute in Jakarta. From 1999 to the beginning of 2003, she served as Regional Policy Analyst at the United Nations Support Facility for the Indonesian Recovery (UNSFIR) of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) in Jakarta to stimulate the examination of policy options for Indonesia. Previously, she had worked as Food Aid Monitor for the Urban Poor Programme within the World Food Programme (WFP) of the United Nations in Jakarta. She had also been a Senior Research Associate at the Center for Policy and Implementation Studies (CPIS) in Jakarta.
After the fall of Suharto in May 1998, an instantaneous wave of publications endeavoured to come to grips with what was going on under the label of “reformasi”, by taking stock with the achievements and failures of the rapidly waning New Order institutions. The first book in the English language to exclusively address the intricacies of the various processes of decentralization in independent Indonesia up to the present day was the anthology, *Riding a Tiger: Dilemmas of Integration and Decentralization in Indonesia* (2002), co-edited by Coen J.G. Holtzappel, Martin Sanders and Milan Titus. It comprised the proceedings of an international workshop at the University of Leiden, The Netherlands, convened by Holtzappel in 2000.

In an effort to define the points of departure for the reform and to fathom out what might happen if Basic Law No. 22/1999 on regional governance and Basic Law No. 25/1999 on regional fiscal balance were to be implemented, the workshop had focused on the political and social dilemmas of the relation between government and regions and vice versa during the New Order regime. At the time, there was a debate going on about the feasibility of the 1999 legislation, and it was not certain at all that the implementation would start as planned in 2001. People were also commonly afraid that reformasi might fail, and that Suharto’s military-backed system of top-down regional governance might be able to re-institute itself. Another issue of concern for many was the fact that the local village communities were excluded from the equity intention inherent in the 1999 legislation. Yet, according to Article 18 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution, it was precisely these village communities which were to enjoy special autonomy status. The majority of Indonesians have after all been living in closely-knit local communities in the rural districts and municipalities. To many, the emphasis on the autonomy of regional municipalities and districts in the 1999 legislation seemed questionable.
Riding a Tiger is a collection of studies which naturally was influenced by these points of debate. Accordingly, it provides some valuable insights into the real-time state of affairs of the regions and local communities in 1999, simultaneously reviewing the post-independence history of regional governance. The studies incidentally focus on the rural districts and their economic and administrative arrears accumulated during the centralist New Order period, showing that the inhabitants of the rural districts depended on income from non-agricultural resources in rural as well as urban areas.

The detailed findings of the book concerned the following issues: (1) The regional disparities between rich and poor regions, (2) The rift between urban and rural areas resulting from a steady decay of inter-Asian trade networks since the nineteenth century due to first colonial and later national trade interests hampering a free and legal access of all Indonesians to inter-regional and international trade (Mohammad Sadli). (3) The fact that whatever the legislation, regional autonomy would only be attractive to local government and local people if all the externalities necessary for autonomy were included, in particular, the servicing and co-administration apparatus of the administrative regions (Martin Sanders). (4) The flow of migrants and commuters between rural districts and cities attesting to the inter-regional nature of local life and its trading networks as well as to the dependence of the urban-rural relations on inter-island networks and networking (Milan Titus). (5) The importance of rural-urban networks, at least in Java, for the survival of both the inhabitants of rural areas and their kin in the towns and cities (Juliette Koning, Pande Made Kutanegara, and Gerben Nooteboom). (6) The violent ethnic dimensions of regional governance under reform in localities outside Java and Madura, and the clash between modernity and local tradition that decentralization would force upon local society (Jan Avé, Boedhijartono, and Dik Roth), and (7) Last but not least, the amazing sustainability, flexibility and productivity of small-scale industries in crisis-ridden Indonesia (Henry Sandee).

Most of these issues unsurprisingly return in this volume which, for the most part, builds on the proceedings of the 2003 follow-up conference on regional reform in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Additional expert contributions were furthermore solicited by the editors. The 2003 follow-up conference had been co-convened by Holtzappel and Dibyo Prabowo with the generous support of the Department of Cultural Anthropology and Non-Western Sociology at Leiden University and the Center for Asia and Pacific Studies at Gadjah Mada University in Yogyakarta. The conference focused on an analysis of the 1999 legislation and its implementation. At the time, the outcomes of the deficiency debate and the debate on the constitutional amendments had
not yet been finished. In the course of the writing-up of this anthology, however, these debates came to an end, and their results were subsequently integrated into the scope of this volume.

By the time this volume reaches printing stage, it is by no means the only book dedicated to the decentralization that is currently taking place in Indonesia. Three major works warrant special attention here due to their comprehensive approach to the topic. They all appeared already in 2003 and hence offer valuable first analyses of the initial phase of the decentralization process. They are, however, dated in the sense that they do not discuss the debate amendments on the constitution and its consequences, and the 2004 update legislation on the relation between government and regions. Hence they miss the practical point that meanwhile the reform does not mean any more democratic empowerment of local government. Rather, it has resulted in the installment of a solid local apparatus, paid by the government, to service the local communities and to co-administrate environmental government interests in the regions in cooperation with the executive regional parliament (see “Introduction” by Holtzappel).

Let us mention here first the collection of essays on Autonomy and Disintegration in Indonesia (2003), co-edited by Damien Kingsbury and Harry Aveling on the basis of the proceedings of a conference of the same name which had taken place in Melbourne in 2001. In its thematic range, it is similar to the afore-mentioned volume, Riding a Tiger, but it has different points of departure. Arguing that “Balkanization”, that is, complete disintegration, or at least fragmentation would by far be the most critical issue facing the Indonesian state at the time, the book discusses in global comparison the history and complexity of the Indonesian nation-building process (Ruth McVey, Paul James, and Ann Kumar), and the chances for democracy as well as the recurrent issue of local identity therein. It offers four case studies of post-New Order Aceh (Edward Aspinall, Rizal Sukma), Timor-Leste (Damien Kingsbury), Papua (Richard Chauvel), and South Sumatra (Elizabeth Collins), which highlight the long-standing friction between these regions and the centre. The resolution of this friction seemed to the authors not to be within reach anytime soon.

The tenor of this collection of essays is quite pessimistic as it points out some dangers or deficiencies apparent in the initial stages of the decentralization process: the potential for regions to institute between themselves barriers to travel and free trade that would have an adverse effect of the already struggling economy (David Ray and Gary Goodpaster); the risk that the centre might react violently against the weakening of its integrative power through regional challenges (Damien Kingsbury); the chance that local communal identity
and a mythologized past, as in the case of West Sumatra, will obstruct possibilities to benefit from greater local control (Ismet Fanany); and the danger that low level of expertise at the local level may negatively affect local government service delivery (Ismet Fanany). One of the contributors (Minako Sakai) argued convincingly that the creation of new provinces based on cultural or geographic cohesion would promise to be an antidote to a further fracturing of the state.

The second work presented here is another collection of studies which appeared under the title, *Local Power and Politics in Indonesia: Decentralisation and Democratisation* (2003). It was co-edited by Edward Aspinall and Greg Fealy on the basis of the proceedings from the 2002 Indonesia Update conference, comprising contributions from academics as well as international policy-makers. The conference was organized by Jill Wolf, Liz Drysdale, and Trish van der Hoek from the Indonesia Project at the Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, The Australian National University, in Canberra. Designed to scrutinize the impact of the decentralization process on local politics and power relations, the conference had been co-sponsored by the Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID), the Asia Foundation, and the Ford Foundation in Jakarta.

The book departed from what the editors had identified as the two rationales of the architects of the 1999 legislation for district-focused decentralization: (1) that it would promote democratization by bringing decision-making to a level where communities were more inclined to participate and where they could hold politicians accountable for their actions (p. 4); and (2) that it would be the “best way to ensure that decentralisation did not encourage separatism and the break-up of the country” (p. 4), which was meanwhile clearly displaying some of the characteristics of a weak state (Harold Crouch) that was furthermore not able to maintain sustainable economic growth (Mohamad Ikhsan).

Assessing these two rationales against the backdrop of developments during the first two years of implementation (Harold Crouch, Mohamad Ikhsan), the authors pursued altogether three goals: (1) to provide an outline of the new decentralized system of governance on the basis of a general report on the results of the German Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit Support for Decentralization Measures (GTZ-SfDM) so far by Rainer Rohdewohld, the Asia Foundation’s survey of thirty local governments presented by Arellano A. Colongan, Jr., examinations of the impact of decentralization on civil society (Hans Antlöv), women (Hana A. Satriyo), the environment (Ida Aju Pradnja Resosudarmo) and the military (Marcus Mietzner), and contributions highlighting the rise in local identity politics in
North Sumatra (Vedi R. Hadiz), Riau (Michele Ford), West Sumatra (Minako Sakai), Papua (Rodd McGibbon), Java and Madura (Amrih Widodo, George Quinn); (2) to represent some aspects of the debate about the meaning of decentralization for political and economic power in Indonesia, concluding that in spite of the emergence of a new breed of populist political brokers (Amrih Widodo), the main winners of the governance reform have so far come from the lower echelons of the New Order bureaucracy capable of re-establishing themselves as local chief executives (Vedi R. Hadiz, Michael S. Malley); that the corrupt alliances of economic and political power were reconstituting themselves in form of unqualified individuals buying themselves into key positions of local administration (M. Ryaas Rasyid, Amrih Widodo); and that despite the reduction of the military’s role in national and regional legislatures, it has been able to adapt and preserve its territorial command structure in such a way that it remains flexible to forge useful alliances with commercial, political and social actors (Marcus Mietzner); and (3) to instigate observers of Indonesia to revise their centralist perspective on the country and to henceforth appreciate the importance of the regions for the political dynamics of the nation as a whole. These findings are confirmed by the chapters of Part I of this book but lack the deeper understanding that the KKN (an acronym for Korupsi or corruption, Kolusi or collusion, and Nepotisme or nepotism) complex is indicative of the continuity of the obsolete local concept of sharing called “patronage”.

The third and last publication to mention here is the book, Decentralisation in Indonesia: Redesigning the State (2003) which was co-authored by Mark Turner and Owen Podger with the assistance of Maria Sumardjono and Wayan K. Tirthayasa. The research for this detailed bird’s-eye study was funded by the Community and Local Government Support Sector Development (CLGS-SDP) Programme of the Asian Development Bank. It identifies some lessons to learn, and offers them especially to policy-makers and administrators in Indonesia and elsewhere.

These lessons mainly concern the following lacunae which have come apparent in the preparation for the 1999 legislation, in the formulation of the legislation itself, and in the first phase of its implementation: poor planning and hasty preparation of the legislation; absence of managerial and economic arguments in the legislation that extol the efficiency advantages of decentralized governance; non-existence of brief laws providing clear guidelines as to the implementation of the legislation; uncoordinated, non-participatory preparation of implementation regulations at central as well as regional government level; continual tension between central and regional government over control of personnel matters; unfamiliarity of regional governments with
tools of democratic accountability; mismatching of function and finance; avoidance of downsizing of staff after transfer from central to regional government; insufficient knowledge of strategic human resource management; impaired training systems; and non-action as to some incongruity of electoral system with principles of democratic local governance.

Compared to the three afore-mentioned publications, the present book offers two new angles on the current governance reform: (1) an analysis of the debate on the revision of the 1999 legislation and the amendment of the 1945 Constitution as well as its impact on the analysis of the reform as a whole; and (2) a focus on the implications of continuity in the sense that Suharto’s administrative regions have indeed been removed; but as their services have been integrated into regional government, they retain the status of de-concentrated government affairs by naming them “decentralized”. The book furthermore highlights more recent aspects of the governance reform, such as the role of the constitutional amendments in the reform and the procedure of changing local territory and management, the role of the province in that procedure, intellectual copyright, or livelihood destruction of sea nomads, that have been hitherto somewhat neglected.

Most importantly perhaps, this volume includes the specially solicited reports of the leaders of the official reform-monitoring teams from The Asia Foundation, SMERU, the Jakarta Office of the World Bank, the Growth through Investment and Trade Project (USAID-Nathan Associates), and the Indonesian Centre of Local Government Innovation. These reports are supplemented by anthropological case studies which bring into focus certain implications of the decentralization process in different regions outside Java (that is, Riau and the Growth Triangle, West Sumatra, Central Sulawesi, Tana Toraja, and Bali).

The volume as a whole thus covers the nitty-gritty of the first phase of implementation (2001–03), corroborating and complementing the findings of the afore-mentioned three publications, as well as more recent developments in connection with the 2004 update legislation. In short, it contributes new important insights for the decentralization debate which might interest social scientists, politicians and policy-makers alike.

The Editors