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1. APEC Economic Leaders' Meeting, Bogor, Indonesia, 15 November 1994. The Bogor Goals provided a sharp focus to the vision of regional economic cooperation. Photo courtesy of the APEC Secretariat.
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Foreword

Twenty years is not a long time in the life of a regional process. I was involved with APEC at its conception and have returned. Observing the evolution of APEC in the intervening years has been akin to watching patiently the seemingly undirected behaviour of ants in a colony.

APEC in the late 80s was more a desire than a plan of action. You could perhaps detect it in the glint of the eyes of the founding fathers. Their desires were basic and instinctual — to create a process that would give form and shape to the Asia Pacific, to bring growth and prosperity to the region. Yet, arguments raged about the fundamentals of membership, substance and direction.

So, when APEC came into being in 1989, it was with just 12 members in a loose consultative forum, with no organizational structure, without a large bureaucracy supporting it, and with a limited programme of sectoral cooperation.

APEC took a sharp turn in 1993 with the first Leaders’ meeting in Seattle. By the following year, APEC had united the region’s Leaders around the common goal of free and open trade and investment in the Asia Pacific. This was no mean feat considering the diversity and geo-political heft of its members.

Since then, APEC has grown in depth and scope. With close to 150 meetings, Leaders, officials and businesspeople meet throughout the year to debate and formulate new ways of advancing regional economic integration and to clear the paths for business to navigate across our borders.

Today, many critics still maintain that APEC is hampered by its own rules of consensus decision-making, that targets are aspirational rather than binding and that liberalization is at most unilateral.

I would dispute that summation because it fails to understand that APEC is a complex phenomenon, much like the World Wide Web which also celebrates its 20th anniversary this year. The WWW grew from a scientific project to a global phenomena that has reshaped the way the market works and touched the core of our lives.
In similar fashion, APEC has grown like an organism, achieving progress, not through legalistic formal mechanisms but through the disparate efforts of the clusters of people working in different sectors to advance regional well-being and growth. Think of APEC’s innovations using the pathfinder approach to explore new ways of regional integration, building convergence through the sharing of best practices; bringing the business world into the soul of APEC activities.

Hence, the test of APEC in the next 20 years will not lie in its speeches or declarations but in its concrete responses to the challenges ahead and in the level of innovation and creativity it brings to bear on these issues. I look forward to writing the next Foreword in 2029.

Ambassador Michael Tay
APEC Executive Director
Preface

This year marks the 20th anniversary of the inaugural Ministerial-level meeting held in Canberra in November 1989, which officially launched Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC). Publishing a commemorative book on APEC’s first 20 years thus seems opportune. In particular, a significant contribution to the existing extensive literature on APEC would be a collection of essays by “old hands” on APEC. Hence, the chosen title for this commemorative book: “APEC at 20: Recall, Reflect, Remake” contains personal and candid recollections and reflections of academics and policy analysts who have a longstanding involvement in APEC on the background of APEC, how its agenda have evolved in the last twenty years, its successes and challenges, as well as their prognoses on its the future, including the need to remake APEC.

Andrew Elek covers the birth of APEC, its overall goals, objectives and guiding principles, the major milestones since its inception, the challenges it faces and its prospects. He rightly points out that the foundations for APEC were laid long before its official launch twenty years ago. He notes both its achievements and disappointments. And he argues that APEC’s 20th anniversary can be an opportunity to recover credibility, as well as a time to reaffirm the concept of open regionalism.

Peter Drysdale likewise notes that the first step in considering how APEC might move forward in the future is to recall APEC’s origins and the processes on which it is built. In particular, APEC’s governing principle of open regionalism entailed a great deal of innovative thinking to come up with a new form of regionalism that would fit the circumstances of the Asia Pacific. Twenty years later, we see the emergence of the new regionalism in East Asia, and growing bilateralism and a move towards sub-regional FTAs that run counter to the principles upon which APEC was designed. A crucial issue for East Asia’s global agenda then is to define a relationship between East Asian
cooperation and integration that is complementary to trans-regional cooperation in Asia and the Pacific. But APEC’s preeminence in the Asia Pacific region persists despite the complementary evolution of East Asian regionalism. The future of APEC depends on how the Asia Pacific region moves to resolve the question of the relationship between the development of economic and political cooperation in East Asia and trans-Pacific cooperation with newly-developing South Asia.

Charles Morrison’s essay also argues that the true measure of APEC’s significance will lie in whether it is widely perceived to have had a transformative impact on prospects for international order in the Asia-Pacific region and globally, and whether it leads to something more. His evaluates some of the limitations and obstacles APEC confronts and concludes with suggestions about how the architecture of Asia-Pacific cooperation might be enhanced. In particular, he cites three key areas that the current regional architecture, including APEC, needs to address: the coverage of regional security; the extent to which the region consults on global issues; and rapid responses to new challenges. He argues that finding national champions for the regional process is probably the most important requirement for a new wind of broad Asia-Pacific cooperation. Australia and Japan had played this role in the early years of APEC. In contrast, the United States has rarely played this role despite its obvious interests in the region. He hopes the new American leadership, combined with a set of changing international relations dynamics that encourage multilateralism in U.S. policy, proves to be the new wind in the sails of the noun “APEC” and trans-Pacific regional cooperation.

In her essay, Man-jung Mignonne Chan assesses APEC from three dimensions: participants, modality, and APEC as an institution in the geopolitical dynamics. She distinguishes between three types of APEC participants — liberal/idealis, realists, and constructivists, and their respective impacts on unilateralism, multilateralism, and constructivism. The nature of participants is core to the APEC process since it affects not only the project initiatives, stakeholders’ participation eligibility and membership expansion, but also determines institutional identity, level of confidence, and future outlook of APEC. Chan then discusses APEC’s chosen modality of concerted unilateralism, including its implementing framework consisting of CAPs, IAPs, and peer review process; the criticisms against it, as well as how to move it forward; and the alternative approach of competitive liberalization, including the FTAAP. Finally, on APEC as an institution in the geopolitical dynamics, Chan argues that APEC has provided numerous opportunities for people-to-people contacts that have fermented a strong sense of community, and promoted regional peace and prosperity.

Zhang Yunling and Shen Minghui track APEC’s progress in terms of the
three pillars of its work programme through a series of initiatives undertaken in the past two decades. Key challenges remain. First is how to realize its Bogor Goals. Another challenge to APEC is how to realize its commitment of reducing economic development gaps. A new challenge to APEC is how to reduce the negative effect of the “noodle bowl” (spaghetti bowl) caused by the multilayered bilateral and sub-regional FTAs/EPAs. Considering the great diversity of APEC members, they do not think it is realistic to expect that APEC could initiate an APEC wide FTA agenda based on negotiations in the near future. Instead, they argue that APEC should become more active and effective in dealing with the current financial crisis, reform of the international financial system, domestic reforms on both financial and economic structures, as well as the post crisis agenda like sustainable and balanced trade structure and relations in the Asia-Pacific region. And China will continue to have strong interest to participate in APEC activities and to support its playing a positive role in promoting regional economic integration and cooperation.

Hadi Soesastro’s essay presents an in-depth analysis of APEC’s Bogor Goals and the choice of modality to realize them, the challenges of implementation and its strengthening over the years, and finally the need for a revamp. He examines how this concept was made operational, including key initiatives undertaken over the years to improve it, particularly the peer review process. Finally, he examines areas for considerations in strengthening the peer review process, which he deems a key element to revamping APEC’s concerted unilateral liberalization.

Ippei Yamazawa’s essay likewise looks at the Bogor Goals, particularly the Osaka Action Agenda and IAP/CAP framework. He discusses how the IAP/CAP framework tracks APEC’s progress in trade and investment liberalization and facilitation, and economic and technical cooperation. He notes that the challenge for Japan, as APEC host economy in 2010, is how to graduate the developed member economies from their Bogor commitment. Like Zhang and Shen, one option that he identifies is to suspend the Bogor Goals. At the same time, all member economies should be encouraged to pursue the expanded Busan Roadmap. Ultimately, he suggests the graduation route as the agenda for APEC 2010. That is, graduate APEC’s five developed economy members together with Singapore, Chile and Hong Kong, China; encourage the rest to remain engaged in the Bogor commitment, and set a post-Bogor agenda for the graduating group towards a higher level of liberalization and to complete the remaining agenda in the Busan Roadmap. Finally, Tommy Koh, Lee Tsao Yuan and Arun Mahizhnan provide a succinct narration of the inception of the Pacific Business Forum, which served as
precursor to the very influential APEC Business Advisory Council (ABAC). As they rightly pointed out, APEC Economic Leaders’ unprecedented approach of directly and formally engaging the business community as part of the APEC deliberative process, initially through PBC and later through ABAC, has proven auspicious.

We would like to acknowledge all those who contributed to this book particularly Tommy Koh who first suggested publishing such a commemorative volume. First of all, we express our appreciation to the Organizing Committee of APEC Singapore 2009 for supporting this project. We are most grateful to the paper writers for agreeing to contribute to the book despite the very tight deadline that we gave them. We also thank the APEC Secretariat for giving us access to their archive of photographs and key information about the member economies of APEC.

We are pleased to release this book on the 20th anniversary of APEC. The book comes out at a time when the role and relevance of APEC, more than ever, is being reexamined, even questioned. But the essays in this book have indicated a continuing, albeit changing role for APEC regionally and globally, and have identified options on how to develop APEC and the APEC agenda to ensure its relevance in the years ahead. We hope this book will help readers to have a better understanding, and hence appreciation of APEC — what it truly stands for and what it aims to accomplish.

K. Kesavapany  
Director  
Institute of Southeast Asian Studies  
August 2009

Hank Lim  
Director of Research  
Singapore Institute of International Affairs
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2. The First APEC Ministerial Meeting, Canberra, Australia, 7–8 November 1989. APEC begins as an informal Ministerial-level dialogue with twelve members. Photo courtesy of the APEC Secretariat.